S - 28646 - 5536 - 3.2 - None

### 28646 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: So long as other stakeholders have the opportunity to comment, make recommendations and supply evidence to the

3.2

3.2

Council to support recommendations.

28647 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Support

28664 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: As a democratic body and the landowner it makes perfect sense for the City to be the lead

28666 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

Options

**Summary:** The City Council is the owner of the site and implements management activities.

**28707 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: Given the council's recent record the council cannot be trusted to lead. Although the council has the resources and is

paid in taxes by the users of the common to work on their behalf, the council has shown itself to be biased and following its own agenda of increasing the area of the common to be grazed. This is completely at odds with the needs and wishes of the users of the common. Therefore a committee of local users with demonstrated support of the

majority of users should be in charge.

**28709 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: City Council has had numerous consultations as it does not like the responses it receives. It has a hidden agenda so it

continues to consult until it gets the result it wants

28759 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: The City Council should lead, but in partnership with other stakeholders to ensure a plan is developed that meets the

needs of the wider community.

S - 28770 - 5555 - 3.2 - None

**28770 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.2

Summary: The council is obviously best placed to deal with this issue as the land is under their jurisdiction; however, this should

not be equated with blanket support of the council's plans

28800 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Whilst I agree the City Council should act as the "lead" in this matter I feel they need to take greater notice

of local user views rather than follow their own agenda. Their track record in this respect has been disappointing so far.

3.2

28846 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: Provided that the input of nature/wildlife conservation bodies and other stakeholders is taken into account

28859 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary:

The Council is the democratically elected local institution and can therefore take decisions on how to manage the

common in accordance and under consultation with local residence who are most directly affected by the management

of the common.

28860 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: The Council is the democratically elected local representation and can therefore take such decision in reflection of the

opinions of local residence who are most directly affected.

28867 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: The council owns the land and therefore should take the lead but should also consult the local residences how the

common should be managed.

28891 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: City council own much of the site and democratically elected. But very important that the fishing lake/former brick nor

owned by council, and site boundaries are included in any completed document.

S - 28895 - 5574 - 3.2 - None

28895 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: But the City Council should consult the Wildlife Trust, and the management plan should follow best ecological principles

3.2

3.2

28924 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: Friends of Coldhams Common would be best placed to run the consultation and to manage the common with financial

support of the council

**28925 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Friends of coldhams common would be best placed to write the management plan with financial support of the council

28927 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

Options

**Summary:** Provided that importance placed on views of local residents and nature trust.

28945 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: I think the City Council is probably the most dispassionate of all of the stakeholders and, hopefully, the most objective,

making it best placed.

As a passionate stakeholder, I believe that the Wildlife Trust should have the major input to the management plan (see

my comments on the Vision for the Common).

28956 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: Obvious innit

**29008 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** As a passionate stakeholder, I believe that the Wildlife Trust should have

the major input to the management plan in association with the City Council.

S - 29050 - 5582 - 3.2 - None

### 29050 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

Options

Summary: The Council is democratically elected and representative of the local population. Its members will hopefully be

consulting their constituents and take their views into account while deciding on such issues.

29094 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: Cambridge Council is the most representative body involved. BUT the Wildlife Trust should have a veto on proposed

3.2

management actions.

**29123 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: No. Friends of Coldhams common would be best to lead.

**29126 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

Options

Summary:

The issue raised most frequently with the Friends are getting the basics

right on the common: keeping it clean, tidy and accessible.

Friends of Coldhams Common is happy to lead on the management plan for the Common, and make a more detailed proposal to the council on this. It has very strong community links, a high level of technical expertise in land management, history and ecology, but is also able to focus on getting the

basics right first.

**29176 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

**Options** 

Summary: No

29177 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.2

Options

Summary: Yes

S - 28648 - 5179 - 3.3 - None

28648 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

Options

Summary: Would support this so long as it embraces all views and communities.

28667 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: Yes. This will improve understanding and reduce tension between users of the Common, some of whom have widely

differing interests and starting points.

28690 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: As long as the management group reflected the local users as the main stakeholders, I would very much welcome the

establishment of such a group.

**28710 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** yes providing it is made up of truly local people not City Council stooges.

**28760 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** Provided local stakeholders cover the intrests of all mojor groups including pedestrian and cycle users.

**28771 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: Given the council's recent disastrous efforts in maintencance of the common, deciions on it's use/management are

best eft in the hands of those who use it regularly

28792 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

Options

Summary: I support the development of a stakeholder management group for Coldhams Common. It is important that a cross

section of the local users are represented, included young people, and not just those that use the space for dog-

walking.

S - 28801 - 5563 - 3.3 - None

28801 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: It would be essential for any such group to be truly representative of all users of the common.

28861 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: Especially local residents' interests should be taken into account because these are most directly affected by the

management of the common. Representatives of these should therefore be considered as stakeholders and included in

3.3

the management group

28870 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: By having local stakeholder, local residence could take part in influencing the decision made.

28892 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: Yes, but not if used as an excuse to pass maintenance and costs to this group rather than city council.

28896 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: I'm broadly in favour, providing this does not give influence to pressure groups interested only in their narrow use of the

common, such as dog walkers

28928 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** support, fair representation of all stakeholders.

C - 28946 - 5559 - 3.3 - None

#### 28946 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

My concern is that this could result in inaction rather than action, or persistent discussions and amendments of the Vision.

Once the Vision, Aims & Objectives are clearly established then active management, by the Council should adhere to those. A monitoring or interest group, consisting of all stakeholders, with the ability to provide legitimate feedback, may be more appropriate.

If a Management Group is formed then it should be truly representative of all stakeholders and include the Wildlife Trust

### 28954 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

Seems sensible.

### 28957 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

Critical for success - get local "ownership" and "involvement"

#### 29009 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

Having read a lot of local comments regarding the Coldhams Common Consultation I believe local stakeholders should be present but not majority. If a Management Group is formed then it should be truly representative of all stakeholders and include the Wildlife Trust.

### 29016 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

So long as the views of all users groups are represented, proportionate and genuine users of the space. views of certain groups should not be allowed to rail road the fact that this is essentially a green space with a feeling of great 'wildness'

### **29051 Support**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary:

It would be important to have a group of stakeholders interested in the upkeep of the area to be managing it.

S - 29089 - 5584 - 3.3 - None

### 29089 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.3

Summary: It needs the involvement of local organisations such the Wildlife Trust.

### 29095 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: I support this proposal if the Management Group includes some interested individual local residents, who use the

Common, as well as 'local stakeholders'.

### 29124 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.3

**Options** 

Summary: Keep common as wild as possible, with minimum interference. Do not "tart it up", it is a common not a park or

recreation ground and should be treated accordingly.

#### 28643 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: I support this on an overall basis but the term 'natural' requires some clarification. Some areas of the common are

blighted by bindweed for example. Thus the Common should 'appear'to be natural but detrimental elements need to be

tackled with vigour for maintenance and preservation purposes.

#### 28649 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

Options

Summary: Sounds fine

### 28668 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: I support the vision: this is a common which many people enjoy while engaged in a wide range of activities. There is

some interesting biodiversity at the site which could be enhanced further with sympathetic management which requires some explaining to the wider public. City Council (and agencies such as the sports centre) should also be able to gain

some benefits through grazing and use of sports facilities.

#### **28691 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

Options

Summary: I do not support any conclusions based on the previous consultation. This consultation was so biased as to be fictional.

O - 28711 - 5547 - 3.5 - None

**28711 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

3.5

**Summary:** the vision is typical council meaningless drivel.

What does this mean in plain English??

**28761 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: Coldhams Common is sat between two important City Roads, Coldhams Lane and Newmarket Road. The vision needs

to reflect that cyclists and walkers would use this route to transit through the area for travel to work and use of local

amenities

28793 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: It is important that the vision reflects the use of the common for sporting activities and as a open space for the

community to gather in and share.

28802 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: I'm not convinced of the need for a vision statement, especially if it then requires performance targets, etc. This doesn't

seem a very sensible approach.

28845 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

Options

Summary: The vision also needs to acknowledge the importance of the Common in terms of strategic cycle routes and local

pedestrian links. The expansion of the city will lead, inevitably, to an increase in usage of these paths and this needs to

be managed in a sensitive but proactive way.

28848 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: Would prefer to see more emphasis on enhancing, where possible, its value for wildlife/nature conservation/species

diversity (and agree that 'natural' is open to interpretation) - this seems a bit lost in the current statement, which

combines it with 'historic landscape'

C - 28862 - 5567 - 3.5 - None

#### 28862 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: In general I support the vision although I would like to see something about the protection in particular also of the local

nature reserve and the natural landscape that it should allow to florish.

28873 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: It will give a some sort of direction. But it has to be agreed by all stakeholder and review periodically.

28893 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** Support open access, importance of natural green space in such an urban area. Wary that this vision not firm enough

re no more sporting pitches etc. We need new open spaces to cope with new housing, not more intense use of existing open spaces - Coldhams great value lies in being peaceful, and a place you can get away from people in crowded city

where msny now hve no gardens (eg Cromwell Rd). With discrete playareas etc on fringe.

28894 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: Worried that green spaces are seen as cheap cycle highways rather than integrating dedicated cycle routes into

existing road network.

Some cycling fine. Intensive use that leads to more hard surfaces and 24 hour lighting endanger the character of green

space.

Cycle lobby probably the most effective lobby group in Cambridge, which is good, but sometimes their priorities not the same as users of green space. Or pedestrians -the greater the usage, the more dangerous shared use routes, and the

greater the likelihood of seperate pedestrian and cycle areas, with yet more hard surface.

**28897 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** I think it worded the other way around. The prime aim should be to preserve the landscape & wildlife, with recreation

provided around this

**28929 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** More emphasis on enhancement of wildlife and environmental conservation, transit of bikes should be deterred.

Space should be used to be informative and educate public about habitat protection conversation and wildlife ect.

S - 28947 - 5559 - 3.5 - None

### 28947 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: With the ever increasing pressures on our environment and on biodiversity this is an opportunity to secure Coldham's

Common as a wildlife / nature reserve for future generations, the benefits of which are well documented. It can accommodate other uses, such as sports and access for all, but within the constraints that best support that Vision.

The report from the Wildlife Trust should be the basis for the aims & objectives of the Management Plan.

#### 28958 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: Delete "natural" - it can only be "semi-natural" - "green space" is good enough.

Important to add &quot, water quality" to list of things to be protected etc - provides a link to Water Framework Directive aims (possible funding). Should add " maintaining" to protecting and enhancing, to cover all angles. Should include a reference to maintaining the " quality" of habitats etc. There is every difference between a green field and a green field full of biodiversity. Most people do not understand that a green field can be an ecological

desert.

#### 29010 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

Options
Summary:

With the ever increasing pressures on our environment and on biodiversity this is an opportunity to secure Coldham's Common as a wildlife / nature reserve for future generations, the benefits of which are well documented. It can accommodate other uses, such as sports and access for all, but within the constraints that best support that Vision. The report from the Wildlife Trust should be the basis for the aims & objectives of the

Management Plan.

29052 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: The wording should be modified to indicate that Coldham's Common will be a protected open space, not just managed.

29090 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

Summary: Broadly support. It is important to ensure that the current mixed range of usage continues (football etc) as well as

preserving crucial wildlife habitats and the areas for informal use (picnics etc). However this does need managing so

that trees etc are managed properly and paths kept pen rather than overgrown.

29096 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** The wording is excellent.

O - 29127 - 5272 - 3.5 - None

### **29127 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 3.5

Summary:

The text presented is not a true vision: a vision describes a state. The written text is closer to management objectives. We would suggest the following s a better example:

"Coldham's Common is recognised and protected as a unique common and open space in the city, and is an important green area integral to the Romsey and Abbey wards. Free from unnecessary fencing and gates and with well-maintained paths, it is an attractive landscape, easily accessible to all in the community, whether for leisure or getting to work. The wooded surrounds of the site, by buffering against adjacent buildings, provide a feeling of tranquility and a strongly rural landscape character. The site is free from unnecessary overmanagement by the council and clutter, and the rights of the citizens as commoners and owners are respected."

### **29178 Support**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options

3.5

Summary: Yes

### 28650 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 3.7

Summary: Support

### 28669 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 3.7

Summary: A modest number of performance targets could be useful, but these should be outcomes focused: number of dog mess

complaints, number of orchids flowering, number of people playing sport etc.

### **28692 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 3.7

Summary: The previous consultation was so flawed as to be fiction. It must not be used in any way. The changes made by the

council over the past 4 years have been at odds with the needs of the local users.

#### **28693 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7 Options

Summary: Dog fouling etc. these performance indicators bias the feedback. Better to stop and ask users on a regular basis.

O - 28712 - 5547 - 3.7 - None

### **28712 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Complete 1984 Orwellian meaningless words. City Council has a hidden agenda and will not come clean about it's

intentions.

**28762 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

Summary: Targets need to be mindful of current and future use and not be aimed at supporting those stakeholders who would

3.7

3.7

3.7

restrict its use further.

**28803 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Not an efficient use of Council resources, better to respond to complaints or compliments as they arise.

**28849 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Risks focusing on a narrow range of issues

**28930 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

Summary: Performance targets outlined not good representation of progress, if progress to be measured should be things like;

plant/species diversity, regular users of area satisfaction, less litter, restore flow of water in stream among many others.

However importance and budget should be put to achieving things rather than monitoring.

**28959 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

Summary: Obtaining evidence is key - and carrying out repeat surveys. It is OK to have output measures if you cannot develop

outcome measures.

C - 28996 - 1376 - 3.7 - None

28996 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

Options

Summary:

Performance measurements needed re litter, plants, trees, stream quality buyt esp towards agreed targets for

imorovement - what and how yet to be agreed etc.

Need for constant review.

29053 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** Performance measures are often divert attention to the measures and away from actual performance.

29097 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

Summary: Performance targets can be a good idea if carefully chosen. How about monitoring water quality and flow in Coldham's

Brook?

**29128 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** We would suggest that you do not monitor progress towards a vision; you

monitor progress towards objectives OR you monitor the condition of the

site. Issue 3 is somewhat confused in this respect.

**29159 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** a How do you measure progress towards a vision-that makes no sense?

**28651 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Support

28670 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

**Summary:** This is useful if it is not impinging on existing management costs to a significant extent.

O - 28694 - 5533 - 3.8 - None

**28694 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Public opinion (including a majority who did not even use the common) was used in the past flawed survey. The survey

3.8

should be of the users of the common and should be done by an independent body, not the council itself.

**28713 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: About time too, but this must include ALL USERS of the common, even if they are not local to the area. How are you

going to do this as you do not know who they are?

**28715 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Agree providing you survey all users even those who visit occasionally. how are you going tom ensure this?

28763 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

28804 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: I do not believe public opinion on the use of open spaces changes regularly enough to support this action. If the

Council constructed unbiased surveys in the first place the repeat surveys would not be needed.

**28931 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Money could be better spent than regular survey on public opinion (e.g. litter collection) but should be somewhere (e.g.

online) in which continuous feedback can be given by public.

28960 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Yes but, the "opinions" need to be tempered by a professional view on what people are saying. Fo example, simply

responding to demands for "more tress" by planting tress all over the last few remnants of high-quality semi-natural grassland would be daft. So there needs to be professional input too in interpreting and responding to public opinion

surveys.

C - 28997 - 1376 - 3.8 - None

#### 28997 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Need to balance cost against what is achieved. Every x years maybe?

29098 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.8

**Options** 

Summary: Large commercial concerns (and national government)can manipulate public opinion via various media, and care

3.8

3.8

should be exercised to account for this.

**29160 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Would cost lots of money, and would need for ALL locals to be canvassed.

28671 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

Options

Summary: I work in biodiversity management, so of course I support this. However, monitoring should not be implemented at the

cost of effective (and informed) management.

28695 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: While flora and fauna are important and should be part of a plan, we must resist undue influence from groups not made

up of local users. These outside groups often have their own agenda which might not be entirely compatible with local use. Someone living in a different town might like the common to be barred to people altogether for instance. Fashions in conservation and wildlife management swing wildly. Personally I support biodiversity and would resist any move to

create more artificial chalk grassland.

**28714 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: Green clap trap.

**28716 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: Green clap trap

S - 28764 - 5546 - 3.9 - None

28764 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Good idea, this would indicate how current use was impacting on the site over time. It should also look at off site

3.9

impacts such as local trafic to assess if this was affecting the site.

28778 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: A very good idea. It would be very useful to know how wildlife of all kinds is doing on this valuable green space.

**28805 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: I object to regular surveys, but would support occasional monitoring of all the open spaces in Cambridge & Description of the open spaces in Cambridge

just Coldhams Common.

28850 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: Necessary information.

28874 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: Any little help. This could help everyone understand more of the common's potential or areas that requires attention.

28898 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: This is the most important way to measure success

28926 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: The habitats and flora of the city spaces are of much importance, particularly as wildlife corridors and refuge for

threatened species

S - 28932 - 5550 - 3.9 - None

28932 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Very important, should be made a priority. Perhaps educate local residents who in return volunteer time to help

3.9

3.9

3.9

3.9

complete surveys.

28961 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Definitely support - critical to assessing impacts and informing responses to deliver the vision.

29054 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: With wildlife trust

29079 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: With Wildlife Trust

29080 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: With Wildlife trust.

29082 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: I think it is especially important to protect the wildlife that we have because 1 the broad web of Life that supports us

humans cannot speak for itself

2 wilderness and wildlife are under threat from us and must be retained in cities so that succeeding generations can

experience and benefit from being in a wild place.

29091 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

**Summary:** Yes, this would be very valuable in measuring any effects of pollution etc.

S - 29099 - 1649 - 3.9 - None

29099 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

Options

**Summary:** The results of such surveys should be made available to the public.

**29161 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: Would cost lots of money, and would need for ALL locals to be canvassed.

29179 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.9

**Options** 

Summary: AGREE

28644 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary:

I believe that monitoring is essential and that it is not particularly difficult to develop KPIs. Also outcomes ranging from hard - managing to clear certain weeds, maintain pathways etc - to soft, including dog-walking/sporting activity as an

indicator of exercise and it's benefits, are essential measures and could support other areas of work by other

departments (such as ChYps).

28652 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

Options

**Summary:** Need to embrace all opinions, and not just dog walkers.

28696 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary: I think it is of paramount importance that any monitoring be carried out by non council personnel. Local people can

assist the council in monitoring and if this influences the actions taken, local support for council actions could be achieved. As the local assistance is likely to be voluntary, the council should look to ways that it can encourage and

support those who would help in this way.

O - 28717 - 5547 - 3.10 - None

### **28717 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary: We have used the common for several years and have many friends who also love and respect the common.

Th city council has a hidden agenda as there have been numerous consultations and none have been to the Councils

liking, hence yet another one!

Give up and leave the common alone.

A vast amount of money had been wasted on fencing and now only part removed despite assurances it would be used

elsewhere

The City Council has wasted huge amounts of money on needless works on the common. Back off!!!!

### 28794 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary:

I think long term management must include some sort of qualitative data about the use of the site and local people

3.10

3.10

#### 28806 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: I'm not sure specific Council investment in monitoring is needed. Support for local volunteer monitoring would seem a

better option. Monitoring by any agency with their own agenda should be avoided.

#### 28863 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Monitoring is sensible but should be proportionate to the task, based on expertise and cost effective.

#### 28962 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary: Pretty obvious but best to secure local community/Wildlife Trust involvement to get high quality low cost volunteer

activity. Maybe schools too.

### 28998 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

**Options** 

Summary: Useful but again depends who does it - Council with input from Wildflife Trust, Friends group, Sport pitch users (

potential conflicts if interest that need working on first)

S - 29083 - 5259 - 3.10 - None

29083 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

3.10

**Summary:** Monitoring species is a useful tool for indicating the health of the Common.

I would be prepared to be part of the monitoring.

29100 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 3.10

Options

Summary: There is no chance that Coldham's Common could become a stable ecosystem if left to itself--thus monitoring is vital to

retain its amenity. This includes evaluation of invasive plant species and ecological diversity.

**29162 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

3.10

**Options** 

Summary: The cabinet member needs to have an annual plan and he needs to demonstrate that this has been adhered to.

29180 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

3.10

**Options** 

Summary: Occassional monitoring would be a good thing, but if overdone could be a waste of resources.

28653 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

4.3

**Options** 

Summary:

Stongly opposed. Grazing is an essential and historic feature of the Common and can help to promote wildlife. It should

be extended.

**28672 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

4.3

4.3

Options

Summary:

Not a good option. Represents loss of revenue and increased expenditure.

28697 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: It would be better to remove all cattle from Coldham's Common than continue with the council's current bias and

bullying behaviour towards the increased grazing agenda being forced on the users of the common.

S - 28718 - 5547 - 4.3 - None

**28718 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

Options

Summary: Grazing only on minority areas. Children and cattle don't mix.

**28719 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Support limited grazing in securely fenced areas including full secure fences so children and dogs cannot access the

areas.

**28720 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: No grazing of sports field

28765 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Given the location of this common and the ammount of foot and cycle trafic if would make sense to remove grazing

rights to reduce the risk of injury to people.

There is a link with injury and death to individuals when dog walkers and cattle mix.

**28779 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

Options

Summary: The whole point of a Common as opposed to a park is that Commoners have grazing rights. This suggestion would

change the entire legal foundations of this green area, and would possibly lead to it being open to development.

Cambridge is also virtually unique in having cattle in what is an urban area. I prefer cow pats to dog poo.

**28795 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** Grazing is historical and an important part of the common.

S - 28807 - 5563 - 4.3 - None

### 28807 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

Options

Summary: Whilst I support the idea of grazing in priciple, there are so many alternative grazing areas in the City and it would not

be missed on Coldham's Common. In your last survey 48% of respondents valued the presence of livestock on the

common so actually just over half did not appreciate their presence.

**28851 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Strongly object to this option.

28878 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: I in principle OK with grazing arrangement at the moment, however the areas which are not grazed should be given a

bit more attention as brambles and nettles are over growing. These are plans that will not be grazed even cows are put

there.

**28899 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Grazing is essential to the wildlife, and must be continued. The cattle that have been used in the last few years are

very docile and pose almost no risk to the public

28933 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Grassland should be preserved with a grazing animal and cows are of historical importance their presence preserve the

land rights of the common protecting it from development so by no means should they be removed, (plus I like them!)
But numbers should be cut I think the area is overgrazed and priority should be flora and fauna diversity as a whole not

just cows.

**28935 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** Grazing adds character.

O - 28963 - 5578 - 4.3 - None

**28963 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

4.3

4.3

4.3

4.3

4.3

Summary: Runs completely counter to ecological requirements. Cutting simply does not replicate grazing.

28999 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Fully support grazing - this is a common. But needs to be balanced with sporting use and walkers and dogs. Agree re

controlled areas.

**29000 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: NO

**29011 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: I believe a well managed grazing system is beneficial to the environment, ecology and gives users of the Common

exposure to these animals and how to behave around them.

29055 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

**Summary:** It is far better for grassland to be managed by grazing rather than mechanical means.

29084 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

Options

Summary: Cattle are better than mechanical mowers at keeping grassland as grass. It gives me pleasure to see them grazing!

In addition there are about 20 species of insects which live in dung and contribute to the biodiversity of the common.

29092 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Cattle are an important part of maintaining the grass levels and their cowpats provide valuable habitats for insects.

O - 29101 - 1649 - 4.3 - None

### **29101 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: Grazing cattle has several beneficial effects on amenity and ecological complexity--and it helps the Common to

continue to be productive. The downside--the chance of stepping in cowpats--is a minimal nuisance.

4.3

**29103 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Grazing is an intrinsic feature of the Common land use.

**29129 Comment** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.3

**Options** 

Summary: It is neither safe nor responsible to assume that site uses will mix in all

instances with grazing. Most people have no problem with cows on part of

the common, but do on all of it.

**28654 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: What a daft question, how can cows and football mix? I do not understand why the recently installed fencing has been

removed before this consultation has been concluded. The last consultation showed overwhelming support for grazing

on the Common.

**28673 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: Not a good option as the sports pitches would become unpleasantly messed up and livestock would interfere with play.

There could be an increase in dogs worrying the cattle.

28698 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: Clearly grazing the entire site would mean the loss of the sports pitches. This would also lead to a dramatic change in

the feel etc. of the site. While I would not support grazing the entire area, the idea of removing all of the fences has

some merit as long as grazing was also removed from the entire site.

O - 28699 - 5533 - 4.4 - None

### **28699 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

4.4

4.4

4.4

4.4

Summary: While grazing the entire site would dramatically change the feel and use of the site and be in none of the local users

interests, there is some merit to the idea of removing all fences as long as all grazing was also removed.

**28721 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Stupid idea.

**28808 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

Not sure how this could possibly be a serious proposal.

**28864 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Opening up the sports pitches to grazing would impede the possibilities of exercise whihe is an important function of

the common for sports clubs and individuals.

28865 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: In addition to my response about the negative impact about possibilities to carry out sports is that the local nature

reserve would be grazed which is to be avoided in order to guarantee a natural environment that allows wild flowers and other species to flourish and allows local residants to be on a part of the common without cattle. This would cater for people who are afraid of the cattle. Dog walkers could also let their dogs run safely without any potential danger and

interference caused by the cattle.

28900 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

**Summary:** Retaining existing control over the grazing is essential, in order to prevent rough vegetation from developing

28934 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

Options

Summary: NO!!!

C - 28964 - 5578 - 4.4 - None

28964 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Should graze as much as possible/practicable with appropriate measures to manage stock and minimise negative

4.4

public/livestock interaction.

29012 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: Fenced area grazing would be more beneficial as some areas could be protected or occasionally grazed to ensure this

is a beneficial not detrimental process for local flora & fauna.

29021 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

**Summary:** I would love to see the whole site given back to nature.

29056 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: The sport pitches should be retained. If not in the current situation, in an alternate area.

**29181 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4

**Options** 

Summary: OBJECTION

**28722 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

Options

Summary: Totally stupid. Children will be injured or killed.

**28772 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

**Options** 

Summary: An utterly idiotic idea. This removbes vital sports provision, and decreases the area of available lead free dog walking

O - 28780 - 1863 - 4.4, 4.4 Map 1 - None

**28780 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

**Options** 

**Summary:** The areas should be left as they are. The sports provision is also valuable.

28796 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

**Options** 

Summary: This option completely disregards the many children, families and young people that use the sports pitches for sports

games and other recreational uses. It would also turn the entire common into space only usable by cattle and dog-

walkers because there would be no space that was free of cow-pats.

**28879 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

Options

Summary: Cows will be everywhere!

**29102 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

**Options** 

Summary: The good balance should be maintained between grazing and sports facilities. There is enough space on the Common

for both.

**29130 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.4, 4.4 Map 1

**Options** 

**Summary:** We are not sure why this option is presented, unless it is to present

removal of illegal and unnecessary fencing as something farcical. The removal of the fencing we have identified would allow access to around 2.5 hectares of land from which the public are excluded and save several £1000

per year. It would not involve grazing the football pitch.

28655 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** Support. Cows an essential part of the common.

O - 28700 - 5533 - 4.5 - None

### **28700 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary:

The area currently grazed is familiar to users, this is not to say that people like it that way. There are many problems associated with the presence of cows and the loss of wildlife associated with grazing (at any level). I would like some cows or commoner's horses, to be present in the currently grazed area but given the incredible bias and bullying by council for grazing, I think it would be better for users if there was no grazing at all. At the very least the council should recognise that users suffer and generously tolerate the current grazing regime.

### 28723 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary: Ageed providing child and dog proof fencing provided

#### 28866 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary: I think this would be an option. In order to reduce overgrazing, however, the number of cattle could simply be reduced. I

am surprised that this is not given as an option.

#### 28868 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary: This would appear to be a good option to avoid overgrazing. This is not the only site where cows could be grazed so it

seems unlikely to put any local farmer out of business. Nature and public well being are surely more important (the benefit of the many) than economic growth of a single farmer or two (the benefit of the few).

### 28901 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary: It would be slightly better to graze with more animals in the latter half of the year, leaving the grassland to flower until

about June

### **28936 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5 Options

Summary: Numbers od cattle should be reduced or rotation of should occur to prevent overgrazing and encourage flowering/ scrub

growth to sustain wild population.

Be nice to have one area cattle free at anyone time.

C - 28965 - 5578 - 4.5 - None

#### 28965 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

Options

Summary: Should graze as much as possible/practicable with appropriate measures to manage stock and minimise negative

public/livestock interaction.

29013 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

Summary: I understand that some areas have been over grazed and would therefore adhere to the Wildlife Trusts

recommendations for future grazing.

**29017 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

Summary: Currently the common is heavily over grazed to the extent that the wildlife interest is lost/reduced. Reduce stocking

density. keep existing grazing compartment. Reconfigure access furniture, currently the paths of the cycle lane and pedestrian access cross under the rail line - leading to conflict between users. The location of the trough on the

coldhams common side is located so that conflict between cattle and users is maximised

29057 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

Summary: Taking into account the Wildlife Trust's view, it would be better to rotate grazing areas.

**29104 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** The Wildlife Trust's recommendations need to be taken very seriously.

29182 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5

**Options** 

Summary: OK

**28773 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.5, 4.5 Map 2

**Options** 

Summary: The status quo represents the best use of the land; if it isn't broken, don't fix it.

S - 28883 - 5568 - 4.5 , 4.5 Map 2 - None

28883 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

4.5, 4.5 Map 2

Summary: Generally happy about the way it is now. Area not grazed is over grown by brambles and nettles which cows don't eat.

28656 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Object

28701 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: This question again shows the bias in the council's agenda. Although the wildlife trust is (erroneously) used to justify

grazing on new sites, here the needs of wildlife are over-ridden if it means the end of grazing... Clearly the council push is to graze more of the common at a lower density and so maintain stock numbers while supposedly reduce

4.6

maintenance costs. Nothing to do with the users and tax payers needs.

**28724 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: Tough

28810 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

Options

Summary:

If over-grazing is indeed a concern I would be in support of fewer cattle and alternating between these 2 grazing areas

each year. If local graziers are deterred I wouldn't consider it a loss if the cattle weren't there.

28902 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: As for 4.5

28938 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

**Summary:** Environmental conservation should be made a priority over grazing.

C - 28966 - 5578 - 4.6 - None

#### 28966 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

Options

Summary:

Should graze as much as possible/practicable with appropriate measures to manage stock and minimise negative

public/livestock interaction.

If there is scope to deliver better management of grazing to optimise ecological benefits, then yes, this should definitely

happen.

29001 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

4.6

**Options** 

Summary: support

29018 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: No grazing is better than overgrazing from a nature conservation point of view. I would support no grazing and moving

to cutting over the existing management. The high stock numbers lead to greater conflict between people and cattle.

29105 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: Very important to maintain a balance that ensures good ecological diversity. Has the profitability of grazing on the

Common been quantitatively investigated?

29137 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

**Summary:** Because of over grazing in the last 4 years and the creeping

thistles not being cut, the areas presently grazed are deteriorating both as pasture and wildlife habitat. The site is without debate heavily overgrazed and the council appears to have limited control over the

stocking rates.

**29183 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.6

**Options** 

Summary: OK

S - 28674 - 5541 - 4.7 - None

### 28674 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: I think this would be a valuable management option which improves the quality of the site and reduces management

costs

### 28702 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: To say, as this question does, that putting cows on the LNR is 'reinstating' grazing is not honest. In fact there has not

been grazing on the LNR at any time since it was made a Local Nature Reserve. At some time in the distant past when this area was grazed, there was a much smaller local population of local users and those users were much less likely to use the whole site for running and other more modern fitness and human/nature interactions. Although local users

object to this option less than any other of the grazing expansion proposals.

### 28797 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** This is my preferred option for grazing on the site.

**28811 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** Strongly object, but it is obvious that this is the aim of the Council and I doubt any objections will be considered.

28852 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: This seems like a sensible solution to overgrazing of particular areas; increased biodiversity of the site makes it a more

interesting place to visit, as well as of being of benefit to wildlife.

**28869 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

Summary: The local nature reserve is to be avoided in order to guarantee a natural environment that allows wild flowers and other

species to flourish and allows local residents to be on a part of the common without cattle. This would cater for people who are afraid of the cattle. Dog walkers could also let their dogs run safely without any potential danger and

interference caused by the cattle.

O - 28872 - 5567 - 4.7 - None

### **28872 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: Grazing on the local nature reserve is to be avoided in order to guarantee a natural environment that allows wild flowers and other species to flourish and allows local residants to be on a part of the common without cattle. This would cater

for people who are afraid of the cattle. Dog walkers could also let their dogs run safely without any potential danger and

interference caused by the cattle.

**28939 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** Preserve local nature reserves current environment and biodiversity and allow a cattle free area.

This area should be instead used to support and encourage fauna/ flora which can't be sustained in grazed areas to

increase overall diversity across the common.

29002 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

Summary: support

29014 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

**Summary:** As per my comments section 4.5, graze according to the most beneficial rotation for the site.

29019 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: STOP CUTTING THIS AREA AT THE WRONG TIME OF YEAR!

29058 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

**Options** 

Summary: Best possible option.

O - 29138 - 5272 - 4.7 - None

**29138 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: The people who signed the two petitions directly [close to 300 signatures ]

objected to Options E and F, and we would reiterate this now. FoCC and other users do not want the last two areas of "wild" common, being the

railway line strip and LNR, grazed.

**29184 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7

Options

Summary: STRONG OBJECTION

28657 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7, 4.7 Map 3

**Options** 

Summary: Support. Cattle grazing should be extended on the common

**28725 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7, 4.7 Map 3

**Options** 

**Summary:** Must be kept as a cattle free area

**28774 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.7, 4.7 Map 3

**Options** 

Summary: This reduces the amount of land available to off lead dog walking

28675 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8

**Options** 

Summary: This would provide the quality of management required for the species that are currently being crowded out by other

vegetation in the 'species triangle'. However, it may be somewhat difficult for people to visit this area as it is so small

when cattle are grazing.

O - 28703 - 5533 - 4.8 - None

### **28703 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** 

4.8

Summary:

For all of the people I have spoken to on the common, this is the worst option. Fencing and grazing the favourite part of the common for picnics and walking and running. This would be a disaster for local users. Almost as bad as the original illegal fence attempt. This part of new fencing must be removed. The Wildlife trust has said different things to me and so I question the suggestion that they support this extra destruction at all.

### **28726 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** 

4.8

Summary:

Children will be injures or killed by cattle if this is agreed.

#### 28781 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** 

4.8

Summary:

If the Wildlife Trust suggest that areas that are not currently grazed would benefit from some limited grazing, and that this would also benefit the areas that may currently be overgrazed by reducing grazing, but at the same time allow those who are exercising their Commoner's Rights to do so with convenience (ie being able to drove their cattle to the other areas rather than costly moves to other commons on lorries) this all seems very sensible.

#### **28812 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options

4.8

Summary:

Please no. The existing areas are more than sufficient, it is good to be able to appreciate the different flora and fauna in the LNR and we don't need yet another section of the common overrun with thistles.

### **28871 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** 

4.8

Summary:

The grazing on the local nature reserve is to be avoided in order to guarantee a natural environment that allows wild flowers and other species to flourish and allows local residants to be on a part of the common without cattle. This would cater for people who are afraid of the cattle. Dog walkers could also let their dogs run safely without any potential danger and interference caused by the cattle. The wording 'rich triangle' is highly leading by the way. What is rich here? If at all biodiversity which would be severely negatively impacted by the cattle.

### **28940 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** 

4.8

Summary:

The common is already overgrazed, please don't also overgraze the species rich triangle.

C - 28967 - 5578 - 4.8 - None

#### 28967 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8 Options

Summary:

Should graze as much as possible/practicable with appropriate measures to manage stock and minimise negative public/livestock interaction.

Probably needs an empirical approach - try it under carefully-managed arrangements to see if it is feasible to optimise benefits without getting disbenefits.

29003 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8

Options

Summary: support

29020 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8

**Options** 

Summary: This is a vastly more sensible suggestion than the previous fencing layout. HOWEVER the majority of this 'nature

reserve' is managed for amenity and it is only the tiny triangle where there is any potential benefit from grazing. I object to this fencing layout until such a time as the whole reserve is managed with nature at its core... rather than camping!

**29139 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8

Options

**Summary:** This is said to "benefit the volunteer's work parties existing efforts to

maintain and enhance this area": their efforts would be better assisted by grants for equipment such as a reciprocating mower, financial support to community groups such as the Wildlife Trust, and by removing cuttings

instead of creating a fire hazard as has historically happened.

**29185 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8

Options

Summary: STRONG OBJECTION

**28658 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8, 4.8 Map 4

**Options** 

**Summary:** Support. Cattle grazing should be extended as a means of controlling overgrown areas and promoting wildlife.

S - 28903 - 5574 - 4.8 , 4.8 Map 4 - None

#### 28903 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.8, 4.8 Map 4 Options

Summary:

This is essential as a management tool to conserve & enhance species-rich grassland. The current cutting regime is expensive and cannot be implemented over a large enough area. Provided the right number of cattle are used, the effects of grazing are carefully monitored, and the stock removed at the right time, it will enhance and not damage te grassland. Such grazing on Barnwell East LNR has been very successful. If the same docile cattle are used as currently graze the rest of the common, there should be no safety concerns.

#### 28676 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9 Options

Summary: Rotation between the northern and Barnwell road ends seems to be sensible as it would improve revenue, decrease

management costs and reduce over-grazing.

#### 28904 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9 Options

Summary: The rough ground between the sports pitches and the East Main Drain could be added to the LNR grazing block

#### 28941 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9 Options

Summary: Rotation between coldhams common and the area north of the railway line meaning only one of the two areas is being

used at one time.

#### 28968 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9 Options

Summary: Should graze as much as possible/practicable with appropriate measures to manage stock and minimise negative

public/livestock interaction.

### **29163 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9 Options

Summary: Most people have no problem with cows on the common but NOT on all of it. Although this years cows are more

belligerent than previously and a local was hospitalized following a cow attack.

Because of over grazing in the last 4 years and the creeping thistles not being cut, the areas presently grazed are very

poor grass.

We do not want the last 2 areas of common-railway line strip and LNR- grazed because of the poor management of the

common by the council.

All illegal and useless fencing to be removed.

Re the above options: No

C - 29186 - 5590 - 4.9 - None

#### 29186 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 4.9

Options

Summary: I DO NOT WANT ANY GRAZING ON THE BARNWELL ROAD MEADOW.

28677 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: Many trees in the area are non-native and replacement with native species could be a benefit. Control of muntjac would

also improve the understorey species. Would coppicing work at the small scale of the woodlands in the site? Would the

visual impact of coppicing be accepted and understood by the public?

**28704 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: In the past 5 years or so the tree management has been too aggressive and has disproportionately reduced the

number of fruit bearing trees that are so important to local users.

Again the use of the previous 'respondents' views from the fiction that is claimed as a questionnaire only attempts to

utilise the council prejudiced agenda expressed therein.

28782 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: If tree management is done with the advice of the Wildlife trust (rather than tree surgeons who seem to have a slash

and burn approach), then it seems sensible if the aim is to support wildlife primarily and the general appearance of the

area as a secondary concern.

28814 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: I support a minimal programme of tree works to maintain biodiversity and for the safety of all using the common.

28856 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: Coppicing would encourage woodland flowers - it would provide a welcome contrast to the dense dark thickets. If done

in rotation this provides a good range of different habitats and limits the visual impact of removing vegetation. Agree

that this would need education/explanation.

S - 28905 - 5574 - 5.1 - None

#### 28905 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

If only logs are left in piles, and the brash is immediately burnt or chipped, there will be little easy fuel for unauthorised

5.1

fires

28942 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: As long as not excessively cut, perhaps could be good to increase species diversity as well age(eg rare native). I like

the idea of the log piles to encourage insects.

28969 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Support the responses - sensible management programme needed. Log piles being a fire risk? Suggest the risk is

negligible, especially if the logs are big. They should be tucked away out of public view. Should not let the perfect (e.g.

5.1

5.1

control every risk to infinitely small levels) be the enemy of the good.

29004 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: managed coppicing good

29022 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

Options

Summary: I don't see that this is necessary. I don't believe that there is a history of coppicing in these areas. The scale of the

woodland blocks on the common would not support these methods of management without having a negative impact

on the landscape of the common.

29059 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

**Options** 

Summary: Some management needed.

29081 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1

Options

Summary: Some management needed

O - 29141 - 5272 - 5.1 - None

#### **29141 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1 Options

Summary: The trees need a proper programme of maintenance and where appropriate selective thinning to allow them to grow to maturity in 100 years time.

This needs to be done by professionals to avoid damage and inappropriate action. We would suggest entry into a scheme of management for the

woodlands.

28645 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1, 5.1 Map 5

**Options** 

**Summary:** Tree maintenance on a cyclical basis is of paramount importance.

28727 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1, 5.1 Map 5

Options

Summary: Agreed

28728 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1, 5.1 Map 5

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

28884 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.1, 5.1 Map 5

Options

**Summary:** All trees will get attention eventually.

28705 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: Clearly some management of trees is needed to maintain the mix and diversity of habitats available. Given that most

people using the East of the common (the part to be impacted by the council's grazing agenda) are runners and dog walkers, I find it incredible that the council has not asked about maintaining walking/running tracks. This again shows

the utter ignorance of the council when it comes to the needs of the local users. Again I say, ask them.

O - 28729 - 5547 - 5.2 - None

**28729 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

Not viable

**28783 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Some blocks should only be subjected to this if the Wildlife Trust suggests it would be beneficial to some species. Summary:

5.2

**28815 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: I'm generally in favour of letting nature take control, but for the safety of everyone using the common management is

necessary.

28906 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: This would create leggy trees and scrub of low wildlife value

**28943 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: Efforts should be taken to increase species diversity within blocks.

28970 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: One wouldn't want the common over-manicured so that it looks like an urban Park - so I hope there will be areas of

woodland/trees which are basically left to get on with it, without over-manicuring. Intervention should be targeted on

the areas that will need and benefit from it most.

29005 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

Summary: object

C - 29023 - 3060 - 5.2 - None

#### 29023 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Somewhere between a coppicing regime and sympathetic management would make sense. Each block needs to be

5.2

assessed individually for species composition and the opportunities for management.

**29060 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** This is supposed to be a managed resource

**29085 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** managed woodland is more bio diverse than unmanaged woodland.

managing woodland gives the opportunity for people to learn about woodland.

28678 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

Options

Summary: I strongly support this. Replacing non-native species with native species will have a long-term benefit for biodiversity on

the common.

The patch of (mostly) hawthorne woodland between eastern end of the common and Barnwell Road requires improvement. Improving this area with better quality native tree species could benefit the biodiversity of the common.

No grassland should be planted for reasons given by the Wildlife Trust.

**28730 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed if cattle not allowed near new planting

**28816 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

Options

**Summary:** I support the planting of new native tree species, but only when replacing dead or dying trees.

S - 28855 - 2599 - 5.3 - None

28855 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

5.3

5.3

Summary:

Agree

28885 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

I support in diversity as well as building up resistance.

**28907 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

**Options** 

,

Summary: There is already a considerable range of tree & shrub species on the common, some unfortunately not native. I object

very strongly to any further planting of non-native trees & shrubs. Trees & shrubs are also very capable of seeding themselves into areas where the grazing-pressure is not too heavy, in brambly thorny thickets for example. It would be far better for wildlife not to have planted blocks of trees and shrubs, but to have more natural self-planted thickets. Tree-planting provides work for people who plant trees, but is completely un-necessary & often bad for wildlife

28944 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

**Options** 

Summary: Native species advantageous.

28971 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.3

Options

Summary: Don't introduce alien species. Should use local seeds. Natural regeneration should be favoured rather than planting of

standards. Fencing is expensive, and planted trees tend to attract the vandals. Aim for a more natural approach - but of course do so only where areas of high biodiversity value will not be at risk. Trees rather than rye-grass yes, but not

5.3

trees in place of valuable grassland.

29006 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: suport

O - 29024 - 3060 - 5.3 - None

29024 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

5.3

5.3

5.3

5.4

5.4

Summary: Again a block by block analysis of the woods is required. Just because well meaning locals like the idea of planting

does not mean it is a good idea. It has long been proven (and in fact does so in the Wildlife Trusts survey of the

common) that good intentioned planting of trees can lead to a loss of diversity.

29086 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: woodland management and planting trees leads to greater biodiversity

29106 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: It would be desirable to take the opportunity of encouraging varieties of native tree species that have natural resistance

to the current diseases and pests that are ravaging trees world-wide.

**28679 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: No grassland should be planted as this is scarce nationally. All the reasons above are valid.

**28706 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Although I would support planting and replacing trees, increasing diversity especially planting new fruit bearing trees, I

also do not want to loose the open spaces on the common that currently exist.

**28731 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: Not needed

**28784 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: The whole point of the Common is that it is open, with trees at the edge. I see no benefit in this "man interfering"

approach which merely seems to have the aim of trying to make the place look managed. And if the Wildlife Trust

think this is a silly idea, then it probably is.

O - 28817 - 5563 - 5.4 - None

#### **28817 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary:

We should not reduce the grassland area in this manner & amp; as a cyclist I feel safer on this route with a clear line of

5.4

sight.

**28854 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: As well as the reasons given by the WT, this would change the character of the common

**28908 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: The grassland would be destroyed over a considerable area by large specimen trees. The species-rich grassland is

the most important habitat on the common. You might as well plough it up as plant trees in it. I cycle across the common regularly, & the paths are mostly nice & open, safe with good sightlines. Planting trees for shade will destroy

this, & the roots will also make the path very bumpy, as has happened on Stourbridge Common.

28972 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

**Summary:** Don't plant trees anwhere where they will damage the grassland.

Probably need to put lot of effort into explaining how important and distinctive and rare the grassland is - need some good interpretation boards pointing out interesting species, and telling people how few hectares there are of interesting grassland in Cambridgeshire (and in the City itself). And helping local people identify the interesting species so they

can recognise them - and monitor them.

**29007 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: Object

**29025 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.4

**Options** 

Summary: Coldham's common is, to me, an essential wild open space - I don't want to see trees planted in lines! There are plenty

of lines of trees on the more formal open spaces in Cambridge!

O - 29061 - 5582 - 5.4 - None

**29061 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

5.4

5.4

5.4

5.5

5.5

Summary: Problems with light and safety, as well as threat to grassland.

29087 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: I support the arguments of the Wildlife Trust

**29201 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

28680 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: This seems a lot of effort for limited reward. I would focus on improving management of existing woodland by planting

native species.

**28732 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Wildlife trust comment

28785 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.5

**Options** 

Summary: This seems a sensible idea where it is recommended to stop the grassland habitats being over-shaded.

**28818 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** Resources better spent elsewhere.

S - 28909 - 5574 - 5.5 - None

#### 28909 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

5.5

Summary:

This will prolong the life of the trees and the aging trunks will provide habitat for invertebrates. Old pollards look far better & more natural than newly planted trees

bottor a more natural than nowly planted trees

28973 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

5.5

Options

Summary:

Pollarding is good - valuable traditional management technique to preserve the tree bole and if it helps other grassland

conservation objectives at the same time that is good too.

29062 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

5.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** If the area is to be managed, some amount of work needs to be undertaken.

**29107 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

5.5

Options

Summary:

Pollarding of most tree species generally destroys their beauty. It should only ever be done to willows.

**29142 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

5.5

**Options** 

Summary: Option E : Pollarding

Pollarding is an expensive and sometimes dangerous method for tree maintenance and is really only applicable for veteran trees with a history of such management. The maiden growth of the trees on the LNR is attractive and given another 100 years some will mature into very

significant specimens.

28733 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

5.6

Summary:

**Options** 

No

C - 28734 - 5547 - 5.6 - None

28734 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

5.6

Summary: No

28910 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.6

**Options** 

Summary: To repeat, please don't plant any more trees. Despite common perceptions, tree planting isn't usually good for wildife

28974 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.6

**Options** 

Summary: Good to involve people in coppicing. Good to find a market for harvested timber too if possible - firewood at least.

29140 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.6

**Options** 

**Summary:** This option is unclear and we also do not recollect an option about

woodland management on the previous consultations or any mention within the

Wildlife Trust report. We suggest that you need to contact a qualified tree officer to come up with an action plan going forward for the lifespan

of the tree on the common, i.e. the next 100 years.

**29164 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.6

**Options** 

**Summary:** You need to contact your council tree officer to come up with an action plan going forward for the next 100 years.

29202 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 5.6

**Options** 

Summary: I don't feel sufficiently knowledgeable on tree management. However, the options seem reasonable but log piles are a

fire risk.

28681 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** This seems most suitable. Maintaining the existing character ensures reasonable levels of berry-bearing bushes.

S - 28735 - 5547 - 6.1 - None

28735 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Good idea Summary: 28786 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Summary: This seems an entirely sensible management plan. 28819 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Summary: Sensible. 28853 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Summary: Agree that scrub management is necessary **28911 Support** Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Excellent Summary: 28975 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Summary: Yes, critical. Scrub is an important habitat in its own right. 29026 Comment Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1 **Options** Summary: Scrub will not develop on managed grassland! Management of the existing scrub along the brook would benefit species such as water vole. I question whether there are any areas of species rich grassland on the common - just areas that are more species rich than others!

S - 29042 - 1376 - 6.1 - None

29042 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.1

6.1

6.1

6.1

6.1

Summary:

support

29063 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Necessary

29088 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: scrub is a n important habitat for birds and needs to be managed

29108 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Good idea.

29143 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** We would want to see no net increase or decrease in scrub at the site.

Scrub is not particularly difficult to control on the common and in our view is under control. Scrub clearance does not need to be extended, since otherwise it will have a significant impact on the landscape character of

the site.

29165 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.1

**Options** 

Summary: No increase/decrease in scrub

29196 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

**Summary:** These options both seem a reasonable idea

6.1

S - 29204 - 5590 - 6.1 - None

29204 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Reasonable option but agree re log pile fire risk

28682 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

**Options** 

Summary: No grassland should be lost as this is the most habitat on the common and is scarce nationally.

28736 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

**28820 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** No reduction in grassland area should be considered.

**28912 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

Options

Summary: Current species-poor grassland should be managed to increase its species-richness, not allowed to develop scrub

28976 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

**Options** 

Summary: Sounds good. Anything better than rye grass.

29027 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 6.2

Options

Summary: I think the balance between scrub and grassland on the site is about right at the moment.

S - 29041 - 1376 - 6.2 - None

29041 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.2

Summary:

No more scrub

29064 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.2

Summary:

Scrub would take over naturally if an opportunity existed, not sure it needs artificial management

29109 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.2

Summary:

Could the Lady's Slipper orchid be reintroduced?

29197 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.2

Summary:

These options both seem a reasonable idea

**29203 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

6.2

Summary:

I do need to feel safe when walking this area

28913 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.3

Summary:

The fenced-off blocks tend to be tall & leggy, with little wildlife value. Good bird-nesting habitat is provided by more natural development of younger scrub that is leafy & branched down to the ground. This would develop by removing the fences around the current blocks, cutting down the tall scrub & allowing regeneration with moderate browsing from cattle.

28977 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

6.3

Summary:

Have the batologists checked out the brambles? Are there any interesting/rare ones? Important scrub component and some are good for fruit too.

C - 28737 - 5547 - 7.1 - None

28737 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Agreed

28821 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1

**Options** 

Summary: If local schools want to help out with this project that's fine, but do we really need an app to enjoy the common?

28875 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1

**Options** 

Summary:

That would be interesting also for community building and involvement of the local community and especially younger

7.1

generation. Any cluttering of the common should be avoided where possible to a summary of the findings at the

entrances to the common should suffice in addition rather than signage all over the place.

28886 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** QR code can be used to direct people to some article or picture?

28915 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** We need to engage people's interest

28978 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1

**Options** 

Summary: Yes, excellent engagement opportunities.

S - 29043 - 1376 - 7.1 - None

#### 29043 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1 Options

Summary:

History important - grazing, mineral extraction, gulag for plague and smallpox victims, sport from golf to football, allotments(important part of common fringe.)Signs get read, or at least noticed and provoke curiosity. Any form other communication requires more motivation.

So information boards important but few, and need budget to renew every five years plus to clean of graffiti etc. Faded or vandalised signs end wrong message about the common being not loved.

Conc: A few signs a cost, but worth it.

#### 29065 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1 Options

Summary:

Leaflets would be environmentally unfriendly and need constant replenishment; they would also be picked up and thrown away by most people if freely available. It would be important to make information available, and a website and/or apps would be the minimum. Leaflets could be made available for a charge or people who wanted information could be directed to the website for printing off their own copies.

#### 29174 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.1 Options

Summary: The Friends group have most of the information mentioned plus the history - a dialogue with them would make sense.

### **28659 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2 Options

**Summary:** Object, but would support discreet signage at the main entrances to the common only.

#### 28738 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2 Options

Summary: Agreed

#### 28798 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2 Options

Summary: This is a great idea and a good way to include local residents in the development of the site.

C - 28822 - 5563 - 7.2 - None

28822 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

Options

**Summary:** Not sure about a trail, but do support discreet signage at entrances to the common.

**28857 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

Summary: Don't agree to the visual impact of incrased signage on the common, which would change its character

28914 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

Summary: Provided there's not too much

28979 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

Summary: Would not worry about a trail as such - but if local volunteers want to do it, why not.

**29015 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** Signage such as 9 wells would be hugely beneficial to all visitors of the common.

29066 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

Summary: One or two strategically placed signs at entrance or exit points, which can point people to sources of further information

(e.g. on the website) should be sufficient.

29110 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** The signage would need to be very discreet to avoid glaring dissonance with the natural environment.

S - 28787 - 1863 - 7.3 - None

28787 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

7.3

Summary: Seems a good idea to give people a map and information as they enter the Common. Don't want noticeboards

anywhere else but the entrances.

28823 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

7.3

Summary: Would be useful.

28844 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

7.3

Summary: Would be useful.

28858 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

7.3

**Summary:** Agree to improved signs/information at entrances (only).

28876 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

This would be of interest to visitors without cluttering the common and could be combined with the first option of a

7.3

school project or the like.

28980 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.3

**Options** 

**Summary:** Yes, selective, robust, good quality, vandal-proof, engaging, inexpensive are useful guide points.

29044 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.3

**Options** 

Summary: as 6.2

S - 29067 - 5582 - 7.3 - None

29067 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

7.3

**Summary:** Minimise signage, see comment for 7.2

**29111 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.3

**Options** 

Summary:

Such signage would be very welcome--people always benefit from being able to make sense of what they are

experiencing.

28739 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.3, 7.3 Map 6

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

28981 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.4

**Options** 

Summary: Website with repository for survey results, historical info, opportunities for people to submit comments, sitings, etc

would be good, but would need volunteer manager(s).

29028 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.4

**Options** 

Summary: I don't know that this is necessary. I think getting the management right over the next 5-10 years is the priority and

getting uses to feed into that. There is bad feeling at the moment with regards to the unwanted fence - let the dust

settle first!

29045 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.4

**Options** 

Summary: A comprehensive history of the common is very necessary to inform future management and to engage residents -

from formal relationship/management with public authorities re plage house, footpath, rail routes, rifle range, golf course, swimming, mineral extraction esp coprolites, etc to informal use for sport and 'courting', to dog walking and exercise,

and esp as an empty sposace in a crowded city where you can get away from others (eg 'courting')

C - 29144 - 5272 - 7.4 - None

#### 29144 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.4 Options

**Summary:** Understanding the history of the site and its historic context is key to

its' management and not a peripheral "educational" issue. Basic historical research needs to be done prior to the plan, in particular documenting the illegal fencing on the site and the site's historical features. There are several features on the common in need of protection e.g. the Weighbridge

Cottage on Coldham's Lane.

#### 29193 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 7.4 Options

Summary: I am not keen on technology! Discreet signs and historical information etc on low natural wooden boards would blend in.

#### 28799 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.1 Options

**Summary:** Local involvement is necessary and would help to preserve the site for future users.

#### **28824 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 8.1

Summary: The resources required would be better spent elsewhere. If people have an interest in nature they can find this

information out for themselves from many of the existing wildlife websites.

#### 28877 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.1 Options

Summary: Sounds good for involvement of the future generations to learn about wild life and involve local residents in their natural

environment.

#### 28916 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.1 Options

Summary: We need to engage the public in the wildlife, why it's important, and what we need to do to conserve it

S - 29046 - 1376 - 8.1 - None

29046 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

8.1

Summary: Need to give local residents sense of ownership. The danger is that the City Council sees this as an opportunity to opt

out of providing similar spaces on new sites as the city expands and encourages greater use of the common which

would destroy its attraction. Can we have a common this size ? Why not ?

29068 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

8.1

**Summary:** No to leaflets, but yes to website or app information.

29195 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: I am not keen on technology! Discreet signs and historical information etc on low natural wooden boards would blend in.

28825 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

8.3

8.3

8.3

8.3

8.1

**Summary:** I would support some signage at main entrances, but nothing too imposing.

28917 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Good

29047 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

At entrances fine. Then up to people to use initiative. Guided 'Exploring' more likely to lead to understanding than lots

of signs

29069 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: As for 7.2

S - 29194 - 5590 - 8.3 - None

29194 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

8.3

8.4

Summary: I am not keen on technology! Discreet signs and historical information etc on low natural wooden boards would blend in.

28740 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: No

**28826 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.4

**Options** 

Summary: No promotion is needed, this takes away the joy many experience on discovering the area for themselves.

28982 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.4

**Options** 

Summary: Has anyone looked at water resources/ quality/ biodiversity angles on the common. Might repay some attention. maybe

there is scope to develop scrapes or ponds to enhance the water environment. Maybe there were more ponds/wet

areas in the past which could be reestablished?

29029 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.4

**Options** 

Summary: Again - let's get the management right first and look into signage in the future!

29145 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 8.4

**Options** 

Summary: The majority of common users have no knowledge of what a Local Nature

Reserve is and would regard the whole of the common as a "local reserve for nature". Therefore focusing only on a single area would create a slanted approach in management of the common. Furthermore would the adjacent

Barnwell Road West LNR require separate treatment.

The production of the materials and signage for the LNR seems too narrowly focused, given that the whole of the common is used as a recreational resource by visitors. We have included our comment about signage in the

signage section.

S - 28683 - 5541 - 9.1 - None

### 28683 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

**Summary:** I support this as it saves money and minimises management costs.

#### 28741 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

**Options** 

Summary: Carry on as before

#### 28827 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

**Options** 

Summary: No change needed.

#### 28880 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

**Options** 

Summary: In general I agree with this but negative effects on biodiversity should be absolutely minimised. If this means cutting the

grass on the LNR in August and shifting the date for the Folk Festival for this reason then so be it.

#### **28918 Support**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

Options

Summary:

The recent hay cuts on the LNR have started reducing the nutrient levels on that area allowing finer species to flourish -

9.1

they should be continued.

Cutting for thistle has unfortunately not been done well for many years. This has led to an increase in the thistle which dominates & eradicates the species-rich grassland. The cut will cause some damage to the invertebrate populations, but if done properly (when the thistle is just setting seed, usually mid-July) should reduce the thistle & become less

necessary in the long-term.

#### 28983 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

**Options** 

Summary: Sensible

O - 29030 - 3060 - 9.1 - None

### **29030 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

9.1

Summary: The common is in terrible condition with regards to over grazing and cutting at the wrong time of year (barnwell Road

side) and the fact that thistles are remaining unmanaged. The grazing and cutting regimes need close scrutiny with the intention being to introduce a regime that leads to sward diversity. Injurious weeds are dominate on the over grazed sections of the common and have been allowed to go to seed and sequester. The current regime is TERRIBLE!

9.1

#### 29048 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.1

Options

Summary: Timing of cutting crucial. Needs to be monitored and seen as a priority, not a 'when we get around to it'.

29070 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Some management necessary

28742 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

28828 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

**Options** 

Summary: The wildness of other species rich areas on the common add to the habitat diversity. The different areas add to the

overall enjoyment of the common.

**28881 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** Negative impacts on biodiversity should be avoided. This option is also be much more costly and the benefit for this

option doesn't seem to be clear to me.

O - 28919 - 5574 - 9.2 - None

#### **28919 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Grazing is better. To have any hope of cutting & collecting larger areas than are cut already, it would have to be

mechanised, and that would remove the ant-hills that provide essential micro-climates. The current mechanised haycut on the folk-festival camp are should be continued, however, since there are few ant-hills there & the area needs a

9.2

lot of nutrient to be removed.

28984 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

Options

Summary: Do need to remove cuttings from species rich grassland otherwise you get seral eutrophication and it just turns into

woodland. Could be a focus for community find-raising to get the equipment. Or maybe business sponsorship - a

practical thing that businesses could support. Could have the sponsor's name on the side.

**29031 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

**Options** 

Summary: I have no idea what this statement is getting at - management should continue on the 'triangle'. all the rest of the

grassland is either cut or grazed.

**29071 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

Options

**Summary:** Damaging local ecosystems is not to be recommended.

29199 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.2

**Options** 

Summary: NOT SURE

28788 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.4

**Options** 

**Summary:** It seems that a combination of approaches are needed depending on exactly which area is under consideration.

29049 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.4

**Options** 

Summary: support

C - 29146 - 5272 - 9.4 - None

#### 29146 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.4

**Options** 

Summary:

The hay on the LNR is harvested too early on the LNR and this damages the

ecology. Furthermore the hay cut of the entire area at once leaves no

refuges for wildlife.

The thistles on the grassland need cutting before they seed and spread by

mid July as part of a regular annual programme.

29166 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 9.4

**Options** 

Summary: Cut the thistles BEFORE they seed/spread: by mid July.

29198 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

**Summary:** AGREE we could map areas for cutting

28660 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Support

28743 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

Summary: Agreed

28766 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

Summary:

The Cambridge City Local plan shows Coldhams Common as a sustainable transport route. This route is on the desire

line for the Science Park Rail Station and business hubs to the north, south.

To prevent conflict between cyclists and pedestrians the paths need to support both users. It is short sighted to suggest paths remain as they are. In other parts of the country parks and common areas support sustainable transport by

9.4

10.1

10.1

providing significantly improved cycle and walking infrastructure.

Cambridge is unique in the number of people who regularly cycle; this should be recognised and celebrated by

ensuring sustainable options are available.

C - 28789 - 1863 - 10.1 - None

#### 28789 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

Summary: The route from Coldhams Lane to Newmarket Road could do with similar works to those currently being undertaken on

Jesus Green. It is very bumpy (so not great for pushchairs or wheelchairs) and very narrow leading to trampling on the grassland either side which must damage the soil. It is a fairly heavily used route which would benefit from

improvement, which would reduce impingement on the grass by users. It is a pleasant walk or cycle which refreshes

10.1

The footpaths across the Common are also important cycle routes and widening of some of these paths will be needed

10.1

the spirit.

#### 28829 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

Summary: Some of the existing surfaced routes are far too narrow for both pedestrians and cyclists, these need some further

attention. Generally the informal routes are maintained adequately.

**28847 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary:

to avoid conflicts between users and to encourage use of sustainable and healthy modes of transport.

28882 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Sounds good.

28920 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

Options

Summary: The tree & shrub canopies have not been cut high enough or far enough back in recent years. Some paths have been

badly obstructed. Cutting needs to be high enough to allow for lowering when they are in leaf & wet with rain, and far

enough off the side to easily allow for a year's growth.

28985 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

Summary: Sensible. Good to keep access/egress points clear and open for safety, etc reasons.

S - 29032 - 3060 - 10.1 - None

29032 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

Options

Summary: I do not want to see any more routes with hard surfacing! I'm happy to pick my way along informal paths as this adds to

the sense of adventure - especially for children! Cutting back scrub on a rotational cycle to ensure they don't end up

scrub over and then leaving them for a few years at a time is my preferred approach.

29072 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Keep interference to natural grasslands or woods to a minimum.

29093 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

Options

Summary: Some management of the paths is essential (especially cycle ways) but it should not be too intrusive.

29113 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

Summary: Cut brambles and nettles from tracks to keep clear but keep to minimum. If clear people will use and trample down new

growth.

**29187 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** AGREE. Leave it natural as it is but with shorter grass on paths

28744 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** Don't interfere with the common.

28767 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

Options

Summary: Work closely with the County cycle and travel to work teams to ensure all users are fully considered. It is not enough to

suggest it is a special place. More people walking and cycling will support the common and its long term interests. It is

in between two busy roads.

Less people driving will improve air quality and both flora and fauna will benefit

C - 28830 - 5563 - 10.2 - None

#### 28830 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

**Options** 

Summary: When the willows were last pollarded the chippings were piled in the scrub, these could have been put to better use if

they were scattered on the path as this route is impassable when muddy.

28921 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

Options

Summary: No - there's already enough tarmac on the common, we don't need more.

28937 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

**Options** 

Summary: There should be a cycle route from Barnwell Road, heading west, linking up with the cycle routes near Abbey Pool and

the sports ground.

There is a lack of good cycle routes in the east-west direction, in this part of the city. Although there are cycle routes on

Newmarket Road and Coldhams Lane, these are no more than painted lines on busy and dangerous roads.

29147 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 10.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** We note that there is also cycle access across the site e.g. cycleway from

Newmarket Road to Coldhams Lane, but also from Barnwell Road, much of which is by commuters. This section is therefore better notified "Access provision." The council

is by commuters. This section is therefore better entitled "Access provision". The council

has no apparent audit for the access infrastructure (e.g. gates) on the site and this needs to be done. The Friends are happy to help with this.

28684 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: In the long run, this would have important benefits for freshwater wildlife. It should be a priority.

28790 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: If this can be done without disturbing the voles, it may benefit them in time. I have seen a kingfisher near the Abbey

Stadium once.

S - 28831 - 5563 - 11.1 - None

28831 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

Options

11.1

**Summary:** I would support this improvement if planned carefully.

28986 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: Would strongly support work to enhance water environment.

28994 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: The forum shares the concern about disturbing waters voles, but considers that this should not prevent improvements

to the brook. We suggest that, if possible, the works should be carried out in winter when there are no young voles in

11.1

the burrows and when the water vole population is smaller and more mobile.

29073 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Let nature take its course

29074 Object

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: Let nature take its course

29112 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: Maintaining water flow along Coldham's Brook should be a high priority, to allow a good diversity of fish. Of course the

voles should be undisturbed, if possible.

C - 29148 - 5272 - 11.1 - None

#### 29148 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: The Cambridge East Drain is overdeepened and straightened. The pipes

feeding Coldhams Brook are not maintained, both from under Barnwell Road and over the drain near Galfrid Road. We believe that this rather than expensive "lining repairs" are the issues behind its lack of water during

summer months.

**29167 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

**Options** 

Summary: Do NOT upset water voles they need supporting

29208 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1

Options

Summary:

28661 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1, 11.1 Map 7

**Options** 

**Summary:** Strongly support - the brook needs to be improved with a better flow of water.

28745 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1, 11.1 Map 7

Options

Summary: No comment

28922 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1, 11.1 Map 7

**Options** 

Summary: This is essential, and should have been done 10 years ago. Any disturbance of Water Voles would be temporary, and

they would rapidly recolonise the areas of work from the East Main Drain. A temporary loss of public access is also a

small price to pay in order to reinstate the brook.

S - 29033 - 3060 - 11.1, 11.1 Map 7 - None

29033 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1, 1

Options

11.1, 11.1 Map 7

Summary: I think a vision for the brook is a great idea and I would support further investigation. I remain concerned that the lack of

management between the pitches and the brook will lead to the areas becoming totally dominated by scrub and

reducing the wildlife potential of the brook.

**29114 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.1, 11.1 Map 7

Options

Summary: support

28662 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

Options

Summary: Support

28685 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** Good plan. This would improve water quality flowing into the Cam.

28746 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

Summary: No comment

28832 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

Options

Summary: The reed bed seems a good idea, but I do not support the installation of more fencing. The habitat should look as

natural as possible, overfencing has already ruined the look of other areas of the common and is an unnecessary cost.

28987 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

Options

**Summary:** Would strongly support work to enhance water environment.

S - 28995 - 2770 - 11.2 - None

28995 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

Options

Summary:

The forum supports the idea of a reed bed provided measures are taken to minimise the impact on water voles eg by

carrying out the works in winter.

But it feels that the document needs to give more attention to the management of invasive species, and the effects on

management upon catabrosa aquatica (if it is still present)

29034 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

**Summary:** Seems like a well planned project there would enhance the common.

**29075 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

Summary: Best not to interfere with natural formations

**29115 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

Summary: Support a lot

**29168 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

Options

Summary: Do NOT upset water voles they need supporting

29209 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.2

**Options** 

Summary:

29207 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 11.3

**Options** 

Summary: YES, I feel that the £65,000 spent on the new fencing for a dozen cattle was a complete waste of money and could

have gone towards a much more worthwhile activity as maintaining and making improvements to the stream, which

could be a beautiful area to enjoy.

S - 28663 - 5179 - 12.1 - None

28663 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

12.1

Summary: Support

28747 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

**Op.**10110

12.1

Summary: Agree

28791 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

Options

Summary: Dogs which are on leads or under proper control should not be a concern for the kind of stock grazed on the Common.

However, irresponsible dog walking (not under control so a pest other users, leaving dog poo) needs to be clamped

down on for the benefit of everyone.

28833 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Most dog owners already know that dogs and livestock are a bad combination.

28887 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

Summary: The absolute majority of dog owners are very responsible with their dogs. Cows can be just as dangerous as a dog out

of control. But to be honest I am on the common twice a day every day for and I have witnessed only one intimidating dog during that time while cows blocking ways etc are a daily occurance and seem from that viewpoint a far greater problem for cyclists and pedestrians - in addition to rather intimidating large horns. Clearing dog faeces is also a

ridiculous request as long as cows are allowed to poo all over the place.

28988 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Sensible. Good to engage dog owners as supporters.

S - 29035 - 3060 - 12.1 - None

### 29035 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

Summary: I don't think this is a subject just effecting dog owners - as a mother I know lots friends who don't take their children

across the common because of the cattle. a reduction in the stock numbers would reduce this conflict and prevent the

site being over grazed.

Moving the cattle trough so the cattle don't congregate at the entrance to the common would be the best thing you can

do to ease this conflict!

#### 29076 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Keep signage to minimum, but provide info on website etc

#### 29116 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Signs saying 'Don't' ruin setting of Common and unlikely to make a difference.

Dog users most regular visitors to common - rain, snow etc. Dog poo, dogs out of control reflect badly on all dog owners so not welcome by anyone. Irresponsible users easily identifiable as regular users od common, so why not use enforcement as with Traffic Wardens and irresponsible car owners. Significant fines quickly stop bad behaviour. Signs

likely to be ignored by these people as they already know and disregard the rules.

#### 28748 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.2

**Options** 

Summary: Cows can kill or injure children or animals just as much as out of control dogs.

Cows should always be behind totally secure fencing and notices should be be very obvious and and large.

#### 28923 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.2

Options

**Summary:** We need stronger enforcement by the council of unruly dogs & of owners failing to clear up faeces.

#### 29210 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 12.2

**Options** 

Summary: I am a keen dog owner and feel that there are few areas in Cambridge for my dog to enjoy a good free run - very

important for her wellbeing, this is why I do not want barnwell Roas meadow to be grazed on as I don't want to walk my

dog near cattle. I want to enjoy a nice relaxing walk as I do now.

C - 28775 - 5555 - 13.1 - None

#### 28775 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

**Options** 

Summary: Bins (both litter and dog mess) should be sited wherever the is an entrance/exit to the common, especially by the

railway footbridge. More signage for penalties for littering should be considered

28834 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

**Options** 

Summary: The sports field would be a good place to site more benches. I'm not sure benches in the LNR would be appropriate.

**29077 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

Options

Summary: Avoid benches. Existing benches are fine, but no more please.

29149 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

**Options** 

**Summary:** Benches are helpful for the less able as a place to rest, but also may

attract rough sleepers. We suggest two more narrow steel benches as per existing design on the common may be best. These should be sighted in pleasant areas, but without creating visual clutter or urbanising the common. A suitable site may be in the Newmarket Road section near the

football ground.

**29158 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

**Options** 

Summary: Do not put up loads of boards, bins, benches noticeboards etc. 1 dog poo bin plus one litter bin at each entrance.

2 more benches but not ones suitable to lie down on. Benches the same as the present ones are best as THESE

WORK.

29169 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.1

Options

**Summary:** 1 bin per entrance not a proliferation of them.

C - 28686 - 5541 - 13.2 - None

#### 28686 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.2

**Options** 

Summary:

There is a huge amount of dog mess on the Coldham's Lane side. This is probably because there are no bins between

Coldham's Lane and the Sports Centre / Barnwell Road. A bin by the footbridge and possibly by the foot tunnel would

have a major benefit for everyone. Please add this.

28749 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.2

**Options** 

Summary: Rubbish on the common is a serious nuisance. More bins every where.

28835 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.2

**Options** 

Summary: Bin provision on the sports field is completely inadequate. Dog waste or combined litter/dog waste bins should be

discretly sited at regular distances around the common - and emptied regularly.

29078 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.2

**Options** 

Summary: Bins are needed around the playing pitches. Currently only one bin at the entrance (near football practice pitch) exists.

Another 2 bins at the far ends would be useful

29150 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.3

Options

Summary: There is a major issue with litter on the common, which is more than

"bins".

With respect to providing bins, we suggest that each site entrance should have a single dog bin plus a litter bin together with a direction sign, and there should not be a proliferation of them. We note that there is no bin on the Coldhams Lane entrance nor at Newmarket Road or Barnwell Road.

29211 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 13.3

**Options** 

Summary: Benches are a welcome asset, especially on a sunny day, but unfortuately can be misused and attract litter - it is a

difficult one. I like benches.

C - 28750 - 5547 - 14.1 - None 28750 Comment Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary: Agreed 28836 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Explanations on temporary boards would be welcome. Summary: 28989 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary: Important. 29036 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary: This seems like common sense to me! **29117 Support** Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary: support 29172 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary: Small notice board at Coldhams Lane entrance might be usefu 29200 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.1 **Options** Summary:

C - 28751 - 5547 - 14.2 - None

28751 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: Agreed

28768 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.2

**Options** 

Summary: Whilst the friends group are important for the common, information and response from wider groups is important to

14.2

prevent a bias. The common is a public space that should be all to use and enjoy.

28837 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.2

**Options** 

Summary: Information should be provided to all users of the common, so in addition to various groups any relevant information

should be provided at entrances to the common.

28990 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.2

**Options** 

Summary: Important.

29037 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.2

**Options** 

Summary: Again common sense!

28687 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

Options

Summary: Good plan.

28838 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: A good idea if the website covered all of the City's common areas. This might require considerable resources & Description of the City's common areas.

think money could be better spent elsewhere.

Note: The composite reference number in the box at the top of the page is made up of the following information:Object/Support - Representation Number - Respondent Number - Plan Reference - Soundness Tests (if applicable).

S - 28889 - 5568 - 14.3 - None

**28889 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

14.3

Setting up website would provide transparency and information what's happening. Summary:

28991 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** Summary:

Good to make it free-standing and voluntarily run ultimately - builds "ownership" and local engagement rather than

everyone expecting the Council to do everything all the time - use Big Society approach.

29038 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: I think information that easily available is good - but only if the benefits outweigh the costs. This is something the

council could work with the friends group to achieve?!

**29118 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: support

**29151 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: A dedicated website is not needed, but we would suggest that key documents

are clearly signposted.

**29170 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: Dedicated site not needed.

29192 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.3

**Options** 

Summary: I rarely use websites

C - 28752 - 5547 - 14.4 - None

#### 28752 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 1

**Options** 

14.4

**Summary:** This must include regular visitors to the common who love it but are not close residents.

28839 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.4

**Options** 

Summary: This statement implies to me an expectation of continued conflict between the Council's "vision" for

Coldham's Common and the wishes of it's users.

**29191 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.4

Options

Summary: Agree

28753 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.5

**Options** 

**Summary:** More transparency about the Council's intentions for the Common.

Better information about changes to the Common

29152 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.5

**Options** 

Summary:

Panels are highly expensive (£1000s per panel ) and easily vandalised or

outdated.

29154 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.5

**Options** 

Summary:

Events at the moment are not communicated to a regular plan. We suggest

that a single individual is nominated for all communications and a communication plan is written annually. We also suggest that a schedule of events is placed on the notice board next to the Abbey Pool entrance

presently this has duplicate copies of pitch layouts ].

The Friends of Coldhams Common would ask for a de-brief following the Folk Festival and briefings before next years new format Folk Festival. We suggest that this is also extended to the wider community e.g. Station

Cycles, Romsey Traders Association.

C - 29171 - 3691 - 14.5 - None

29171 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

14.5

Summary: Friends of Coldhams Common need a de-brief following the Folk Festival and a briefing before next years.

29188 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 14.5

**Options** 

Summary: I do feel it is so important to get the views of people who ACTUALLY USE THE COMMON and are not just looking at it

sitting in an office and looking at a map.

28840 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1

**Options** 

Summary: People would have to go out of their way to check a website for updates so information at entrances to the common

would be the best way to inform all users of events.

28888 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1

**Options** 

Summary: Sounds like a good idea.

29039 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1

**Options** 

Summary: I think this is a good idea - I wonder whether weekend works parties may be better received? I certainly can't attend on

a Tuesday!

**29119 Support** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1

**Options** 

Summary: suport

29155 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1

**Options** 

Summary: A small notice board at the Coldhams Lane entrance might be useful.

FoCC are happy to be involved in co-ordinated audits of the common and

litter picking. We think these should be lead by the community or

organisations such as the Wildlife Trust.

S - 29189 - 5590 - 15.1 - None **29189 Support** Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.1 **Options** Summary: Agree 29120 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.2 **Options** Summary: support 29190 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.2 **Options** Summary: Agree 28754 Comment Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.3 **Options** Summary: Agreed 28841 Support 15.3 Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and **Options** Summary: This would be very useful. 29205 Support Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.3 **Options** Summary: 28665 Comment Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.4 **Options** Summary: There were problems this year regarding the desire of the railway authorities to cut hedges, trees and scrub at the worst time of the year for wildlife. Could there be regular communication with them to ensure that they are aware of wildlife management and any cutting by the line happens outside of nesting time.

C - 28755 - 5547 - 15.4 - None

28755 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

15.4

15.4

Summary: Council back off being so dictatorial about the site and cattle grazing.

28955 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

**Summary:** The fencing along the railway line needs to be better.

28992 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.4

**Options** 

Summary: All good ideas.

28993 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.4

**Options** 

Summary: There are no oppos to comment on 16 and 17 - no button to comment! Template looks good.

Should actively involve the businesses closest to the Common in the Friends etc groups. Good source of volunteer

working parties for charity days, etc.

29040 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.4

**Options** 

**Summary:** There are sections on this consultation that ask questions then don't give you the ability to write an answer!

It is important that the common is thought about as a whole - including its surrounding. All the management on the common to maintain its sense of wilderness means nothing if developers are allowed to build tall buildings alongside it.

29121 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 15.4

**Options** 

Summary: Need to use/fund LNR officer to have active regular engagement with Abbey Meadows school to give children

ownership for common

28756 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16.1 Question

**Options** 

Summary: people just want the common to be what is has been for many years ie a great place for people to go, meet friends and

enjoy a valued green space in that part of Cambridge without bureaucratic interference from the city Council.

Note: The composite reference number in the box at the top of the page is made up of the following information:Object/Support - Representation Number - Respondent Number - Plan Reference - Soundness Tests (if applicable).

O - 28769 - 5546 - 16.1 Question - None

### **28769 Object**

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16.1 Question

Options

Summary:

The consultation does not consider the wider use of the common by a variety of users; the City Local Plan has the Chisholm Trail routing through this area. Rightly so, as this is a low impact form of transport. Given the new Station it is

likely to be a major transit point for access to and from the local area. Improvements to the common must include better paths and cycle routes

#### 28776 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16.1 Question Options

Summary: You have not addressed the issue of littering by football teams who use the pitch facilities. An inspection regime should

be implemented with the assistance of GLL who run Abbey Pool. Any team whose use of a football pitch results in plastic drinks bottles strewn over the sides of the pitches should be banned from playing for a specified amount of time.

#### 28842 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and Options 16.1 Question

**Summary:** You have neglected to consider the compexity of this consultation and the time required by anyone wishing to

comment. I await with interest to find out how many respondents you receive this time.

#### 29156 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16.1 Question Options

**Summary:** Cycling has not been mentioned on the Common. The Cattle Creep, the

underpass to the railway line, is frequently overgrown by hanging brambles and thorns as is the area around the crossing of the drain near the football ground. Cycle paths need to be kept clear from such vegetation.

There is no bike access or rack provision for the Coldham's Common

playground nor near the pool: both would be useful. We suggest that the plan includes not only the common per se,

but also the

adjacent land e.g. Barnwell West LNR, Barnwell Pits.

#### 29173 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16.1 Question Options

**Summary:** Bike racks for the kids playground near pool would be useful.

Best ones are like those in Burleigh Street with 2 horizontal bars-have a look at them. Nothing flash thank you.

C - 29175 - 1376 - 16.1 Question - None

#### 29175 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

16.1 Question

Summary: Need for 'Vision' to include boundaries and access across roads for plan to

work and to link Common with adjacent residential areas and with Cherry Hinton Brook green corridor. Roads - Newmarket Rd, Barnwell Rd, Coldhams

lane at present barriers.

29206 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 16

16.1 Question

Options

Summary:

These are my views, but I am also a member of the Friends of Coldham's Common.

28688 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: This looks like an intelligent plan which considers the costs of management compared with potential benefits to many

different users. The incorporation of biodiversity into this plan is good. I would encourage continued and ongoing

17.1

17.1

openness in how this plan is developed and how and when it is implemented.

**28757 Object** 

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and

**Options** 

Summary: there was no link to the Plan therefore I could not comment. This is typical of the Council's hidden agenda!!!

28777 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 17.1

**Options** 

Summary: I welcome the council's efforts to engage, but the questions asked do not cover the concerns of those who use the

common

28843 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 17.1

**Options** 

Summary: I welcome any attempt by the Council to seek the views of residents, but think most people will have lost the will to live

after responding to this particular document.

28890 Support

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 17.1

Options

**Summary:** It is important to review the plan yearly to monitor the progress and success.

Note: The composite reference number in the box at the top of the page is made up of the following information: Object/Support - Representation Number - Respondent Number - Plan Reference - Soundness Tests (if applicable).

C - 29157 - 5272 - 17.1 - None

### 29157 Comment

Coldham's Common Draft Management Plan Issues and 17.1 Options

Summary: we suggest that a

focus on the action plan, including annual maintenance plan, to be a

priority